Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,606	IMAIZUMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lorna M. Douyon	1796
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Supplemental Allowance</u>	
(1) <u>Paul D. Pyla</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lorna M. Douyon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2008</u>	Time: <u>3:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3, 8		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Artiga Gonzalez et al. (US 6,528,474)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend claim 3 by incorporating some limitations of claim 8 and page 15, lines 19-22, to overcome Artiga Gonzalez. Details of the amendment is attached herewith.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)